1	NICOLA T. HANNA	¥ 0
	United States Attorney	5
2	LAWRENCE S. MIDDLETON	7
	Assistant United States Attorney	617-
3	Chief, Criminal Division	1 101
	RUTH C. PINKEL (Cal. Bar No. 164470)	2.7
4	LINDSEY GREER DOTSON (Cal. Bar No. 266973)	100
	Assistant United States Attorneys	F 75
5	Public Corruption and Civil Rights Section	, ° Q,
	1500 United States Courthouse	
6	312 North Spring Street	772
	Los Angeles, CA 90012	¥
7	Telephone: (213) 894-6077	
	E-mail: Ruth.Pinkel@usdoj.gov	
8		
	Attorneys for Plaintiff	
9	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALLFORNIA

RGK

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

THOMAS M. SHEPOS,

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Defendant.

PLEA AGREEMENT FOR DEFENDANT THOMAS M. SHEPOS

1. This constitutes the plea agreement between THOMAS M. SHEPOS ("defendant") and the United States Attorney's Office for the Central District of California (the "USAO") in the investigation of the above-described matter. This agreement is limited to the USAO and cannot bind any other federal, state, local, or foreign prosecuting, enforcement, administrative, or regulatory authorities.

DEFENDANT'S OBLIGATIONS

- 2. Defendant agrees to:
- a. Give up the right to indictment by a grand jury and, at the earliest opportunity requested by the USAO and provided by the Court, appear and plead guilty to a two-count information in the form

attached to this agreement as Exhibit A or a substantially similar form, which charges defendant with False Statement to Government Agent in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1001(a)(2) and Subscribing to False Tax Return in violation of 26 U.S.C. § 7206(1).

- b. Not contest facts agreed to in this agreement.
- c. Abide by all agreements regarding sentencing contained in this agreement.
- d. Appear for all court appearances, surrender as ordered for service of sentence, obey all conditions of any bond, and obey any other ongoing court order in this matter.
- e. Not commit any crime; however, offenses that would be excluded for sentencing purposes under United States Sentencing Guidelines ("U.S.S.G." or "Sentencing Guidelines") § 4A1.2(c) are not within the scope of this agreement.
- f. Be truthful at all times with Pretrial Services, the United States Probation Office, and the Court.
- g. Pay the applicable special assessments at or before the time of sentencing unless defendant lacks the ability to pay and prior to sentencing submits a completed financial statement on a form to be provided by the USAO.
- h. Not seek the discharge of any restitution obligation, in whole or in part, in any present or future bankruptcy proceeding.

PAYMENT OF TAXES OWED

3. Defendant admits that defendant received unreported income of \$434,400 for 2010 to 2016, which consists of the following amounts for the following years: \$25,000 (2010); \$12,000 (2011); \$12,000 (2012); \$52,000 (2013); \$139,400 (2014); and \$102,000 (2015); and \$92,000 (2016). Defendant agrees to cooperate with the Internal

Revenue Service in the determination of defendant's tax liability for 2010 to 2016. Defendant agrees that:

- a. Defendant will file, prior to the time of sentencing, amended returns for the year subject to the above admissions, correctly reporting unreported income; will, if requested to do so by the Internal Revenue Service, provide the Internal Revenue Service with information regarding the years covered by the returns; will pay at or before sentencing all additional taxes and all penalties and interest assessed by the Internal Revenue Service on the basis of the returns; and will promptly pay all additional taxes and all penalties and interest thereafter determined by the Internal Revenue Service to be owing as a result of any computational error(s).
- b. Nothing in this agreement forecloses or limits the ability of the Internal Revenue Service to examine and make adjustments to defendant's returns after they are filed.
- c. Defendant will not, after filing the returns, file any claim for refund of taxes, penalties, or interest for amounts attributable to the returns filed in connection with this plea agreement.
- d. Defendant is liable for the fraud penalty imposed by the Internal Revenue Code, 26 U.S.C. § 6663, on the understatement of tax liability for 2010-2016.
- e. Defendant gives up any and all objections that could be asserted to the Examination Division of the Internal Revenue Service receiving materials or information obtained during the criminal investigation of this matter, including materials and information obtained through grand jury subpoenas.

- 4. Defendant further agrees to cooperate fully with the USAO, the Federal Bureau of Investigation, Internal Revenue Service, and, as directed by the USAO, any other federal, state, local, or foreign prosecuting, enforcement, administrative, or regulatory authority. This cooperation requires defendant to:
- a. Respond truthfully and completely to all questions that may be put to defendant, whether in interviews, before a grand jury, or at any trial or other court proceeding.
- b. Attend all meetings, grand jury sessions, trials or other proceedings at which defendant's presence is requested by the USAO or compelled by subpoena or court order.
- c. Produce voluntarily all documents, records, or other tangible evidence relating to matters about which the USAO, or its designee, inquires.
- 5. For purposes of this agreement: (1) "Cooperation
 Information" shall mean any statements made, or documents, records,
 tangible evidence, or other information provided, by defendant
 pursuant to defendant's cooperation under this agreement or pursuant
 to the letter agreement previously entered into by the parties dated

December 16, 2016 (the "Letter Agreement"); and (2) "Plea Information" shall mean any statements made by defendant, under oath, at the guilty plea hearing and the agreed to factual basis statement in this agreement.

THE USAO'S OBLIGATIONS

6. The USAO agrees to:

- a. Not contest facts agreed to in this agreement.
- b. Abide by all agreements regarding sentencing contained in this agreement.
- c. At the time of sentencing, provided that defendant demonstrates an acceptance of responsibility for the offenses up to and including the time of sentencing, recommend a two-level reduction in the applicable Sentencing Guidelines offense level, pursuant to U.S.S.G. § 3E1.1, and recommend and, if necessary, move for an additional one-level reduction if available under that section.
- d. With respect to Counts One and Two, recommend that defendant be sentenced to a term of imprisonment no higher than the low end of the applicable Sentencing Guidelines range.
 - 7. The USAO further agrees:
- a. Not to offer as evidence in its case-in-chief in the above-captioned case or any other criminal prosecution that may be brought against defendant by the USAO, or in connection with any sentencing proceeding in any criminal case that may be brought against defendant by the USAO, any Cooperation Information.

 Defendant agrees, however, that the USAO may use both Cooperation Information and Plea Information: (1) to obtain and pursue leads to other evidence, which evidence may be used for any purpose, including any criminal prosecution of defendant; (2) to cross-examine defendant

should defendant testify, or to rebut any evidence offered, or argument or representation made, by defendant, defendant's counsel, or a witness called by defendant in any trial, sentencing hearing, or other court proceeding; and (3) in any criminal prosecution of defendant for false statement, obstruction of justice, or perjury.

- b. Not to use Cooperation Information against defendant at sentencing for the purpose of determining the applicable guideline range, including the appropriateness of an upward departure, or the sentence to be imposed, and to recommend to the Court that Cooperation Information not be used in determining the applicable guideline range or the sentence to be imposed. Defendant understands, however, that Cooperation Information will be disclosed to the probation office and the Court, and that the Court may use Cooperation Information for the purposes set forth in U.S.S.G § 181.8 (b) and for determining the sentence to be imposed.
- c. In connection with defendant's sentencing, to bring to the Court's attention the nature and extent of defendant's cooperation.
- d. If the USAO determines, in its exclusive judgment, that defendant has both complied with defendant's obligations under paragraphs 2 and 3 above and provided substantial assistance to law enforcement in the prosecution or investigation of another ("substantial assistance"), to move the Court pursuant to U.S.S.G. § 5K1.1 to fix an offense level and corresponding guideline range below that otherwise dictated by the sentencing guidelines, and to recommend a term of imprisonment within this reduced range.

DEFENDANT'S UNDERSTANDINGS REGARDING COOPERATION

8. Defendant understands the following:

- a. Any knowingly false or misleading statement by defendant will subject defendant to prosecution for false statement, obstruction of justice, and perjury and will constitute a breach by defendant of this agreement.
- b. Nothing in this agreement requires the USAO or any other prosecuting, enforcement, administrative, or regulatory authority to accept any cooperation or assistance that defendant may offer, or to use it in any particular way.
- c. Defendant cannot withdraw defendant's guilty pleas if the USAO does not make a motion pursuant to U.S.S.G. § 5K1.1 for a reduced guideline range or if the USAO makes such a motion and the Court does not grant it or if the Court grants such a USAO motion but elects to sentence above the reduced range.
- d. At this time the USAO makes no agreement or representation as to whether any cooperation that defendant has provided or intends to provide constitutes or will constitute substantial assistance. The decision whether defendant has provided substantial assistance will rest solely within the exclusive judgment of the USAO.
- e. The USAO's determination whether defendant has provided substantial assistance will not depend in any way on whether the government prevails at any trial or court hearing in which defendant testifies or in which the government otherwise presents information resulting from defendant's cooperation. That is, whether any other co-conspirator is found guilty or not guilty will have no impact on what benefit, if any, defendant receives from the USAO. The USAO's determination will depend only on whether defendant provides truthful testimony.

NATURE OF THE OFFENSES

- 9. Defendant understands that for defendant to be guilty of the crime charged in Count One, that is, False Statement to Government Agent, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1001(a)(2), the following must be true: (a) first, defendant made a false statement in a matter within the jurisdiction of the Federal Bureau of Investigation ("FBI"); (b) second, defendant acted willfully; that is, defendant acted deliberately and with knowledge both that the statement was untrue and that his conduct was unlawful; and (c) third, the statement was material to the activities or decisions of the FBI; that is, it had a natural tendency to influence, or was capable of influencing, the agency's decisions or activities.
- 10. Defendant understands that for defendant to be guilty of the crime charged in Count Two, that is, Subscribing to False Tax Return, in violation of Title 26, United States Code, Section 7206(1), the following must be true: (1) defendant signed and filed a tax return for the year 2014 that he knew contained false information as to a material matter; (2) the return contained a written declaration that it was being signed subject to the penalties of perjury; and (3) in filing the false tax return, defendant acted willfully. A matter is material if it had a natural tendency to influence, or was capable of influencing, the decisions or activities of the Internal Revenue Service. A defendant acts willfully when defendant knows that federal tax law imposed a duty on defendant and defendant intentionally and voluntarily violated that duty.

PENALTIES AND RESTITUTION

- 11. Defendant understands that the statutory maximum sentence that the Court can impose for a violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1001, is: 5 years imprisonment; a 3 year period of supervised release; a fine of \$250,000 or twice the gross gain or gross loss resulting from the offense, whichever is greatest; and a mandatory special assessment of \$100.
- 12. Defendant understands that the statutory maximum sentence that the Court can impose for a violation of Title 26, United States Code, Section 7206(1), is: 3 years imprisonment; a 1 year period of supervised release; a fine of \$250,000 or twice the gross gain or gross loss resulting from the offense, whichever is greatest; and a mandatory special assessment of \$100.
- 13. Defendant understands, therefore, that the total maximum sentence for all offenses to which defendant is pleading guilty is: 8 years imprisonment; a 3 year period of supervised release; a fine of \$500,000; and a mandatory special assessment of \$200.
- 14. Defendant understands and agrees that the Court: (a) may order defendant to pay restitution in the form of any additional taxes, interest, and penalties that defendant owes to the United States based upon the count of conviction and any relevant conduct, and; and (b) must order defendant to pay the costs of prosecution, which may be in addition to the statutory maximum fine stated above.
- 15. Defendant understands that, by pleading guilty, defendant may be giving up valuable government benefits and valuable civic rights, such as the right to vote, the right to possess a firearm, the right to hold office, and the right to serve on a jury.

 Defendant understands that once the court accepts defendant's guilty

pleas, it will be a federal felony for defendant to possess a firearm or ammunition. Defendant understands that the convictions in this case may also subject defendant to various other collateral consequences, including but not limited to revocation of probation, parole, or supervised release in another case and suspension or revocation of a professional license. Defendant understands that unanticipated collateral consequences will not serve as grounds to withdraw defendant's guilty pleas.

- 16. Defendant understands that, if defendant is not a United States citizen, the felony convictions in this case may subject defendant to: removal, also known as deportation, which may, under some circumstances, be mandatory; denial of citizenship; and denial of admission to the United States in the future. The court cannot, and defendant's attorney also may not be able to, advise defendant fully regarding the immigration consequences of the felony convictions in this case. Defendant understands that unexpected immigration consequences will not serve as grounds to withdraw defendant's guilty pleas.
- 17. Defendant understands that supervised release is a period of time following imprisonment during which defendant will be subject to various restrictions and requirements. Defendant understands that if defendant violates one or more of the conditions of any supervised release imposed, defendant may be returned to prison for all or part of the term of supervised release authorized by statute for the offense that resulted in the term of supervised release, which could result in defendant serving a total term of imprisonment greater than the statutory maximum stated above.

FACTUAL BASIS

18. Defendant admits that defendant is, in fact, guilty of the offenses to which defendant is agreeing to plead guilty. Defendant and the USAO agree to the statement of facts provided below and agree that this statement of facts is sufficient to support pleas of guilty to the charges described in this agreement and to establish the Sentencing Guidelines factors set forth in paragraph 20 below but is not meant to be a complete recitation of all facts relevant to the underlying criminal conduct or all facts known to either party that relate to that conduct.

Background

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

From approximately 1998 to 2017, defendant was a public official employed by the County of Los Angeles ("County") in the Real Estate Division. Defendant's duties involved negotiating leases between private building and property owners and various County departments. Defendant was also able to request and receive proposals from private real estate developers who wanted to lease their buildings to County departments. Once a property was identified for a County department in need of space, defendant was able to negotiate lease terms and draft lease agreements for the County and private property owners, or direct others to do so. Based on defendant's level of seniority, defendant had significant autonomy to contractually bind the County. In particular, defendant had authority to negotiate contract terms on behalf of the county, although final contract approval lay with the Board of Supervisors, and defendant had authority to approve, with others, change orders on contracts. Furthermore, in defendant's capacity as a public official employed by the County, defendant owed a fiduciary duty to the citizens of the County and to defendant's

employer to perform the duties and responsibilities of defendant's office free from bias, conflicts of interest, self-enrichment, self-dealing, concealment, deceit, fraud, kickbacks, and bribery.

The United States government provides federal assistance to various County departments, including the Department of Public Social Services ("DPSS"), every year -- a portion of which is used to cover some of the County departments' lease expenses. The aggregate amount of federal funding received by those County departments exceeds \$10,000 per year.

The Arman Gabaee Bribery Scheme

Arman Gabaee, also known as "Arman Gabay" ("Gabaee"), was a real estate developer who conducted business with the County. He was the co-managing partner and co-founder of the Charles Company, a real estate development firm that developed and maintained commercial and residential real estate projects. Gabaee was also a partner of the California limited partnership M&A Gabaee, among other entities.

Sometime between 2000 and 2005, Gabaee began to seek improper assistance from defendant in the course of conducting his (Gabaee's) real estate business with the County. During this time, Gabaee had a lease pending approval before the County Board of Supervisors (the "Board"). The lease called for DPSS to occupy a portion of the Hawthorne Mall, which Gabaee owned. The Board ultimately voted to approve the lease, but the approval came over a year after it was initially presented to the Board. Defendant believed that the delay on the DPSS Hawthorne Mall lease served as the catalyst for Gabaee seeking influence and non-public County information about County leases from defendant. Gabaee did not compensate defendant for assistance and non-public County information at this time.

In approximately 2010 or 2011, Gabaee began giving cash payments to defendant. Initially, the amounts were \$5,000 per month for approximately five to six months. After that point, to on or about April 11, 2017, Gabaee gave defendant monthly cash payments of approximately \$1,000. The relationship between defendant and Gabaee changed shortly after defendant began accepting these monthly payments in 2010 or 2011. Specifically, their relationship transformed to an illegal business relationship, wherein defendant would use his County position to provide assistance to Gabaee and financially benefit Gabaee's businesses in return for Gabaee's monthly payments.

The assistance that defendant provided to Gabaee in return for the \$1,000 monthly payments included the following: (a) defendant providing non-public County information to Gabaee, including but not limited to, information about the County's leasing needs and competing bids for leases Gabaee desired; (b) defendant giving added attention to, and performing official acts for, Gabaee with regard to Gabaee's existing and potential leases with the County; and (c) defendant resolving issues between Gabaee and various County departments leasing space from Gabaee where issues have arisen within the occupied properties and resolving those issues on terms that were favorable to Gabaee.

For instance, on one occasion in 2011, defendant "raninterference" for Gabaee with regard to maintenance issues that had
arisen in properties Gabaee was leasing to the County. The County
departments leasing space in the properties owned by Gabaee were
upset with maintenance issues, such as broken elevators and roof
leaks. Gabaee relied heavily on defendant to address the issues with

the departments by improperly deflecting blame away from Gabaee and onto independent contractors, and by allowing Gabaee more time to resolve issues.

In addition, prior to defendant's cooperation with the FBI

(which began on or about December 16, 2016), Gabaee had offered to
buy a property in Northern California for defendant as a bribe. The

value of the properties Gabaee sought to purchase as a bribe for

defendant exceeded \$1,000,000. In exchange for the Northern

California property bribe, Gabaee sought defendant's assistance

securing a County lease for Gabaee, whereby DPSS would lease space in
the Hawthorne Mall, which Gabaee owned and was redeveloping.

The Electrical Contractor Bribery Scheme

In addition to bribes from Gabaee, defendant also accepted bribes from an electrical contractor doing business with the County (the "Electrical Contractor"). The Electrical Contractor made payments to defendant in exchange for defendant providing non-public County information to the Electrical Contractor and helping the Electrical Contractor secure County contracts.

The illicit nature of their relationship began in approximately August 2013 when the Electrical Contractor offered defendant \$25,000 to \$40,000 for information that would help the Electrical Contractor win a bid to perform electrical work on a property leased by the County. The Electrical Contractor's work included wiring speakers and installing alarms and security systems.

In exchange for the cash payments, defendant would obtain non-public information from the County's Internal Services Department ("ISD") about whether the County had received bids in response to a County Request for Proposal ("RFP"). The RFP process was the means

by which competing contractors submitted bids to the County to perform work on County properties. Defendant would then disclose that non-public information to the Electrical Contractor, and/or tell the Electrical Contractor prior winning bids on similar projects, so that the Electrical Contractor could submit a more competitive bid to the County through the RFP process. Defendant did this to help the Electrical Contractor obtain the County contract. In other instances, when either there were no other qualifying bids submitted or time constraints precluded a formal RFP, defendant would contact ISD and use the influence of his County position to help the Electrical Contractor obtain the County contract.

The Electrical Contractor always paid defendant in cash two to three times per year, usually in an envelope containing \$50 or \$100 bills. From approximately 2013 through December 2016, the Electrical Contractor gave defendant a total of \$250,000 to \$300,000 for defendant's assistance with five to seven County contracts ultimately awarded to the Electrical Contractor. Defendant did not report these bribe payments as income or as anything else.

The Kickback Schemes

On several occasions, defendant arranged to receive improper kickbacks from real estate commissions on properties leased by the County. Defendant received Form 1099s for theses commissions, which totaled approximately \$59,200 for 2012, 2014 and 2016, and reported it as income on his individual tax returns.

In another instance, approximately in 2013, defendant arranged with as associate of Gabaee's to receive, and did receive, a real estate commission kickback of \$35,000, through a third party, for the

County's lease of a property on Missouri Avenue in West Los Angeles, but did not pay income taxes on his receipt of these funds.

Other Unreported Income

Defendant gave some of the cash defendant received from the Electrical Contractor to Individual A to store for him (defendant). On one occasion, defendant gave Individual A approximately \$150,000 to store. On another occasion, defendant gave Individual A approximately \$25,000 to \$40,000 to store. Individual A eventually used the funds to cash checks for the Electrical Contractor and gave defendant \$2,500 in interest. Defendant did not report the \$2,500 as income.

False Statements to Federal Agents

On or about November 21, 2016, FBI Special Agents interviewed defendant at the United States Attorney's Office in Los Angeles.

Defendant knowingly and willfully made materially false, fictitious, and fraudulent statements and representations to the agents, which included the following: (a) defendant denied that he received anything of value from anyone doing business with the County; and (b) defendant claimed that a \$25,000 cashier's check agents questioned him about represented gambling proceeds that defendant had won in prior years, and (c) claimed that unexplained deposits in his bank accounts were gambling proceeds.

In truth, as detailed above, defendant did receive money and other things of value from individuals doing business with the County. Furthermore, the \$25,000 cashier's check actually represented bribe proceeds from the Electrical Contractor that Individual A had stored for defendant, and defendant did deposit cash bribe payments from Gabaee and others into his bank accounts.

Defendant made the aforementioned false statements to conceal his illegal bribery schemes with Gabaee and others. Defendant's false statements were material, that is, they had a tendency to influence the FBI's investigation and affected the FBI's ability to properly investigate the illegal activity of defendant and others.

False Statements on Tax Return

On or about April 14, 2015, in Los Angeles County, within the Central District of California, defendant willfully made and subscribed to a materially false United States Individual Income Tax Return, Form 1040, for the calendar year 2014, which defendant verified by a written declaration that it was made under penalty of perjury, and filed such tax return with the Internal Revenue Service, which defendant did not believe to be true and correct as to every material matter in that it failed to report the additional income for 2014 described herein. Specifically, the tax return reported total income of \$109,274 and failed to disclose additional income of \$139,400.

The false information provided by defendant was material in that it affected the IRS's calculation of the amount of income earned and prevented the IRS from verifying the accuracy of the amount of tax claimed to be owed on defendant's return. Defendant acted willfully. Defendant knew that the law required him to report all income accurately and to pay all income tax that was due and owing. Defendant voluntarily and intentionally violated that duty.

Tax Due and Owing on Unreported Income

For calendar years 2010 to 2016, defendant failed to report a total of \$434,400 of income he received from the bribery and/or kickback payments described above, which consists of the following

amounts for the following years: \$25,000 (2010); \$12,000 (2011); \$12,000 (2012); \$52,000 (2013); \$139,400 (2014); and \$102,000 (2015); and \$92,000 (2016). Defendant's unreported income for 2014 included approximately \$102,000 in bribe payments.

Defendant's underreporting of income resulted in lowering the taxes reported as due and owing on his Form 1040 individual tax returns. As a result of defendant's conduct, defendant owes additional taxes totaling \$110,021 consisting of the following amounts for the following tax years: \$3,941 (2010); \$1,625 (2011); \$3,325 (2012); \$14,216 (2013); \$38,972 (2014); \$26,019 (2015); and \$21,923 (2016).

SENTENCING FACTORS

19. Defendant understands that in determining defendant's sentence the Court is required to calculate the applicable Sentencing Guidelines range and to consider that range, possible departures under the Sentencing Guidelines, and the other sentencing factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a). Defendant understands that the Sentencing Guidelines are advisory only, that defendant cannot have any expectation of receiving a sentence within the calculated Sentencing Guidelines range, and that after considering the Sentencing Guidelines and the other § 3553(a) factors, the Court will be free to exercise its discretion to impose any sentence it finds appropriate up to the maximum set by statute for the crime of conviction.

25 //

26 //

20. Defendant and the USAO agree to the following applicable 1 2 Sentencing Guidelines factors: 3 Count One (False Statements) Base Offense Level: 6 [U.S.S.G. § 2B1.1(a)(2)]4 5 6 Count Two (Subscribing to False Tax Return) 7 16 [U.S.S.G. § 2T1.1(a)(1)]Base Offense Level: and § 2T4.1(F)] [\$110,021 Tax Loss] 8 9 Specific Offense Characteristics: [Failure to 10 Report Income Over \$10,000 +2 $[U.S.S.G. \S 2T1.1(b)(1)]$ from Criminal Activity] 11 12 [U.S.S.G. § 3D1.1, +0 Multi Count Adjustment: 13 3D1.3, 3D1.4] 14 Defendant and the USAO reserve the right to argue that additional 15 specific offense characteristics, adjustments, and departures under 16 the Sentencing Guidelines are appropriate. 17 Defendant understands that there is no agreement as to 21. 18 defendant's criminal history or criminal history category. 19 Defendant and the USAO reserve the right to argue for a 22. 20 sentence outside the sentencing range established by the Sentencing 21 Guidelines based on the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a)(1), 22 (a) (2), (a) (3), (a) (6), and (a) (7). 23 WAIVER OF CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS 24 Defendant understands that by pleading guilty, defendant 25 gives up the following rights: 26 The right to persist in a plea of not guilty. a. 27 The right to a speedy and public trial by jury.

b.

c. The right to be represented by counsel - and if necessary have the court appoint counsel - at trial. Defendant understands, however, that, defendant retains the right to be represented by counsel - and if necessary have the court appoint counsel - at every other stage of the proceeding.

- d. The right to be presumed innocent and to have the burden of proof placed on the government to prove defendant guilty beyond a reasonable doubt.
- e. The right to confront and cross-examine witnesses against defendant.
- f. The right to testify and to present evidence in opposition to the charges, including the right to compel the attendance of witnesses to testify.
- g. The right not to be compelled to testify, and, if defendant chose not to testify or present evidence, to have that choice not be used against defendant.
- h. Any and all rights to pursue any affirmative defenses, Fourth Amendment or Fifth Amendment claims, and other pretrial motions that have been filed or could be filed.

WAIVER OF APPEAL OF CONVICTION

24. Defendant understands that, with the exception of an appeal based on a claim that defendant's guilty plea was involuntary, by pleading guilty defendant is waiving and giving up any right to appeal defendant's convictions on the offenses to which defendant is pleading guilty.

LIMITED MUTUAL WAIVER OF APPEAL OF SENTENCE

25. Defendant agrees that, provided the Court imposes a total term of imprisonment on all counts of conviction of no more than 33

months, defendant gives up the right to appeal all of the following:

(a) the procedures and calculations used to determine and impose any portion of the sentence; (b) the term of imprisonment imposed by the Court; (c) the fine imposed by the court, provided it is within the statutory maximum; (d) the amount and terms of any restitution order, provided it requires payment of no more than \$110,021; (e) the term of probation or supervised release imposed by the Court, provided it is within the statutory maximum; and (f) any of the following conditions of probation or supervised release imposed by the Court: the conditions set forth in General Orders 318, 01-05, and/or 05-02 of this Court; the drug testing conditions mandated by 18 U.S.C.

SS 3563(a) (5) and 3583(d).

26. The USAO agrees that, provided (a) all portions of the sentence are at or below the statutory maximum specified above and (b) the Court imposes a term of imprisonment of no less than 27 months, the USAO gives up its right to appeal any portion of the sentence, with the exception that the USAO reserves the right to appeal the following: (a) the amount of restitution ordered if that amount is less than \$110,021.

RESULT OF WITHDRAWAL OF GUILTY PLEA

27. Defendant agrees that if, after entering guilty pleas pursuant to this agreement, defendant seeks to withdraw and succeeds in withdrawing defendant's guilty pleas on any basis other than a claim and finding that entry into this plea agreement was involuntary, then (1) the USAO will be relieved of all of its obligations under this agreement; (2) in any investigation, criminal prosecution, or civil, administrative, or regulatory action, defendant agrees that any Cooperation Information and any evidence

derived from any Cooperation Information shall be admissible against defendant, and defendant will not assert, and hereby waives and gives up, any claim under the United States Constitution, any statute, or any federal rule, that any Cooperation Information or any evidence derived from any Cooperation Information should be suppressed or is inadmissible; and (3) should the USAO choose to pursue any charge that was either dismissed or not filed as a result of this agreement, then (i) any applicable statute of limitations will be tolled between the date of defendant's signing of this agreement and the filing commencing any such action; and (ii) defendant waives and gives up all defenses based on the statute of limitations, any claim of pre-indictment delay, or any speedy trial claim with respect to any such action, except to the extent that such defenses existed as of the date of defendant's signing this agreement.

EFFECTIVE DATE OF AGREEMENT

28. This agreement is effective upon signature and execution of all required certifications by defendant, defendant's counsel, and an Assistant United States Attorney.

BREACH OF AGREEMENT

29. Defendant agrees that if defendant, at any time after the signature of this agreement and execution of all required certifications by defendant, defendant's counsel, and an Assistant United States Attorney, knowingly violates or fails to perform any of defendant's obligations under this agreement ("a breach"), the USAO may declare this agreement breached. For example, if defendant knowingly, in an interview, before a grand jury, or at trial, falsely accuses another person of criminal conduct or falsely minimizes defendant's own role, or the role of another, in criminal conduct,

defendant will have breached this agreement. All of defendant's obligations are material, a single breach of this agreement is sufficient for the USAO to declare a breach, and defendant shall not be deemed to have cured a breach without the express agreement of the USAO in writing. If the USAO declares this agreement breached, and the Court finds such a breach to have occurred, then:

- a. If defendant has previously entered guilty pleas pursuant to this agreement, defendant will not be able to withdraw the guilty pleas.
- b. The USAO will be relieved of all its obligations under this agreement; in particular, the USAO: (i) will no longer be bound by any agreements concerning sentencing and will be free to seek any sentence up to the statutory maximum for the crimes to which defendant has pleaded guilty; and (ii) will no longer be bound by any agreement regarding the use of Cooperation Information and will be free to use any Cooperation Information in any way in any investigation, criminal prosecution, or civil, administrative, or regulatory action.
- c. The USAO will be free to criminally prosecute defendant for false statement, obstruction of justice, and perjury based on any knowingly false or misleading statement by defendant.
- d. In any investigation, criminal prosecution, or civil, administrative, or regulatory action: (i) defendant will not assert, and hereby waives and gives up, any claim that any Cooperation Information was obtained in violation of the Fifth Amendment privilege against compelled self-incrimination; and (ii) defendant agrees that any Cooperation Information and any Plea Information, as well as any evidence derived from any Cooperation Information or any

Plea Information, shall be admissible against defendant, and defendant will not assert, and hereby waives and gives up, any claim under the United States Constitution, any statute, Rule 410 of the Federal Rules of Evidence, Rule 11(f) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, or any other federal rule, that any Cooperation Information, any Plea Information, or any evidence derived from any Cooperation Information or any Plea Information should be suppressed or is inadmissible.

COURT AND PROBATION OFFICE NOT PARTIES

- 30. Defendant understands that the Court and the United States Probation Office are not parties to this agreement and need not accept any of the USAO's sentencing recommendations or the parties' agreements to facts or sentencing factors.
- 31. Defendant understands that both defendant and the USAO are free to: (a) supplement the facts by supplying relevant information to the United States Probation Office and the Court, (b) correct any and all factual misstatements relating to the Court's Sentencing Guidelines calculations and determination of sentence, and (c) argue on appeal and collateral review that the Court's Sentencing Guidelines calculations and the sentence it chooses to impose are not error, although each party agrees to maintain its view that the calculations in paragraph 20 are consistent with the facts of this case. This paragraph permits both the USAO and defendant to submit full and complete factual information to the United States Probation Office and the Court, even if that factual information may be viewed as inconsistent with the Factual Basis or Sentencing Factors agreed to in this agreement.

32. Defendant understands that even if the Court ignores any sentencing recommendation, finds facts or reaches conclusions different from those agreed to, and/or imposes any sentence up to the maximum established by statute, defendant cannot, for that reason, withdraw defendant's guilty pleas, and defendant will remain bound to fulfill all defendant's obligations under this agreement. Defendant understands that no one - not the prosecutor, defendant's attorney, or the Court - can make a binding prediction or promise regarding the sentence defendant will receive, except that it will be within the statutory maximum.

NO ADDITIONAL AGREEMENTS

33. Defendant understands that, except as set forth herein, there are no promises, understandings, or agreements between the USAO and defendant or defendant's attorney, and that no additional promise, understanding, or agreement may be entered into unless in a writing signed by all parties or on the record in court.

PLEA AGREEMENT PART OF THE GUILTY PLEA HEARING

34. The parties agree that this agreement will be considered

part of the record of defendant's guilty plea hearing as if the entire agreement had been read into the record of the proceeding. AGREED AND ACCEPTED UNITED STATES ATTORNEY'S OFFICE FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA NICOLA T. HANNA United States Attorney RUTH C. PINKEL Assistant United States Attorney THOMAS SHEPOS Defendant JOEL C. KOURY

CERTIFICATION OF DEFENDANT

Attorney for Defendant

THOMAS SHEPOS

I have read this agreement in its entirety. I have had enough time to review and consider this agreement, and I have carefully and thoroughly discussed every part of it with my attorney. I understand the terms of this agreement, and I voluntarily agree to those terms. I have discussed the evidence with my attorney, and my attorney has advised me of my rights, of possible pretrial motions that might be filed, of possible defenses that might be asserted either prior to or at trial, of the sentencing factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), of relevant Sentencing Guidelines provisions, and of the consequences of entering into this agreement. No promises, inducements, or representations of any kind have been made to me other than those contained in this agreement. No one has threatened or forced me in

any way to enter into this agreement. I am satisfied with the representation of my attorney in this matter, and I am pleading quilty because I am quilty of the charges and wish to take advantage of the promises set forth in this agreement, and not for any other reason.

7-27-2018

1-29-18

THOMAS SHEPOS Defendant

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

CERTIFICATION OF DEFENDANT'S ATTORNEY

I am defendant THOMAS SHEPOS's attorney. I have carefully and thoroughly discussed every part of this agreement with my client. Further, I have fully advised my client of his rights, of possible pretrial motions that might be filed, of possible defenses that might be asserted either prior to or at trial, of the sentencing factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), of relevant Sentencing Guidelines provisions, and of the consequences of entering into this agreement. To my knowledge: no promises, inducements, or representations of any kind have been made to my client other than those contained in this agreement; no one has threatened or forced my client in any way to enter into this agreement; my client's decision to enter into this agreement is an informed and voluntary one; and the factual basis set forth in this agreement is sufficient to support my client's entry of guilty pleas pursuant to this agreement.

JOEL C. KOURY

Attorney for Defendant

THOMAS SHEPOS

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Sandy Ear, declare:

That I am a citizen of the United States and a resident of or employed in Los Angeles County, California; that my business address is the Office of United States Attorney, 312 North Spring Street, Los Angeles, California 90012; that I am over the age of 18; and that I am not a party to the above-titled action;

That I am employed by the United States Attorney for the Central District of California, who is a member of the Bar of the United States District Court for the Central District of California, at whose direction the service by mail described in this Certificate was made; that on September 5, 2018, I deposited in the United States mail at the United States Courthouse in the above-titled action, in an envelope bearing the requisite postage, a copy of:

Plea Agreement for defendant Thomas M. Shepos

16 service was:

- ☐ Placed in a closed envelope for collection and inter- office delivery, addressed as follows: ☐ Placed in a sealed envelope for collection and mailing via United States mail, addressed as follows:
- ☐ By hand delivery, addressed as ☐ By facsimile, as follows: follows:
- ☐ By messenger, as follows: ☐ By Federal Express, as follows:

Joel C. Koury
3435 Ocean Park Blvd., Suite 107-50
Santa Monica, CA 90405

at his last known address, at which place there is a delivery service by United States mail.

This Certificate is executed on September 5, 2018 , at Los Angeles, California. I certify under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.